

Saturday, May 31, 2014

Trash Collection, Landfill Contract Complications



The City of San Angelo's Trash Collection and Landfill Operation Request for Proposal specified the following Activity Schedule, which seemed tight if the city seriously wanted to consider anyone but the current provider, Trashaway-Republic:

February 11 -- RFP OP-01-14 Available February 25 -- Pre-Proposal Conference March 5 -- Deadline for Questions on RFP March 14 -- Proposals Due to City March 17-20 -- Review Questions/Clarifications to Proposers March 27-28 -- Proposer Interviews (this did not occur) April 15 -- Council Authorization to Negotiate (came early on April 1) April 16-29 -- Negotiations May 6 -- Council Agenda Item (this has not occurred and is not on June 3 City Council Agenda)

August 1 -- Lessee/Operator Commences Services

City staff skipped proposer interviews and City Council approved negotiating with Trashaway-Republic two weeks early on April 1. Revelations of Trashaway-Republic's unauthorized billing of certain fees to commercial customers came around April 15. Since then everything's been quiet.

The bid criteria state:

The prospective **primary participant certifies** to the best of its knowledge and belief that **it and its principals**:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for disbarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for **commission of fraud** or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are **not presently indicted for** or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification

I imagine insiders are attempting to navigate treacherous waters. The City of San Angelo bears liability for the unauthorized charges as it received a portion of the monies and it never audited commercial customer bills for contract compliance.

How does one give a long term contract to a company that collected unwarranted fees for years? This will be an interesting story to watch.