- 1 San Angelo City Council Meeting, April 1, 2014: Agenda Item # 18
- 2 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Number 18, is consideration of authorizing city staff to negotiate two separate
- 3 <u>contracts regarding request for proposal OP0114 with Republic Waste Services of Texas Limited</u> for, one,
- 4 the lease and operation of the San Angelo Sanitary Landfill and for, number two, waste collection
- 5 services for the City of San Angelo. Mr. Kelton, you're on sir.
- 6 **Shane Kelton:** Okay, I'll catch my breath.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

- 7 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** Sorry, buddy, didn't mean to do that to you.
 - Shane Kelton: I was upstairs, had to run down. Okay, Mayor, Councilmembers, we sent out RFP's for solid waste services for both landfill operations and for collections to see what was out there. We sent out seven, um, we had seven requests for people to send RFP's to. We sent those out as stated in your background and we received two back: one from Republic Services of Texas and one from Texas Disposal Systems. We got in our responses, distributed them to the evaluation committee, the evaluation committee went through them, we looked at them, we got together, we met, we scored both proposals based on the established criteria in the RFP. The criteria was financial impact, operational experience of the respondent, financial qualifications and stability of the respondent, adherence to specifications and additional beneficial criteria that may or may not have been submitted within the proposals. The ranking for the proposals based on committee evaluations was for the lease and operations of the sanitary landfill: Republic Waste Services of Texas was ranked first; Texas Disposal Systems was ranked second. Ranking for the waste collection service: Republic Waste Services of Texas was ranked first; Texas Disposal Systems was ranked second. The staff is recommending, and the committee that reviewed these, is recommending that the staff, or that council authorize the staff to negotiate two contracts, two separate contracts, one for the operation of the sanitary landfill and then secondly for the solid waste collection services. Now this is - we're just asking for the ability to negotiate a contract with the person that scored the highest on this. If we cannot reach an agreement or negotiate an agreement, an acceptable agreement between both parties, we will come back to Council and ask for your permission to seek authorization to negotiate with the second highest ranked proposal that we have so this is strictly just authorization to negotiate. This is not a final contract. We will bring all that stuff back to y'all and present it to you as a whole for y'alls approval to finalize and authorize the City Manager to sign a final contract.
 - **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** I know the reason we set this committee up is so that this could be very stringently vetted so that we would be able to determine what was best for our city and our committee did vet this thing, and they ranked it, and the ranking was for Republic on this. So our committee has done its job and it's done what we asked it to do. So the question we have today is do we vote to give you the authority to continue to negotiate with the number one ranked, which is Republic on both categories, and if you cannot reach a negotiated settlement which is beneficial to both of us, the City and to the provider, that you would come back for another.
- 37 **Shane Kelton:** We would come back to ask for negotiations with the second ranked proposal.

- 38 Charlotte Farmer: I was just curious as to if we wanted to request a time frame for negotiations, you
- know, so we can get this wrapped up and put to bed.
- 40 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Well, it's gotta be done pretty quick because it expires in July of 14 so it's kind
- 41 of a natural time frame.
- 42 **Charlotte Farmer:** No reason by May 1st? I mean, we got 30 days so...
- 43 Mayor Dwain Morrison: You think 30 days would do it, Shane?
- 44 Shane Kelton: I would hope that we would have a very good idea within the next 30 days as to where
- 45 we stand and hopefully within that 30 days...you know, I would hope by the May 15th meeting that we
- are standing here with a document that we feel very comfortable with presenting to the City Council.
- 47 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** I don't see a problem with that. I mean we have a natural time constraint.
- 48 Charlotte Farmer: I move for a motion to allow staff to commence negotiations with Republic, who
- 49 scored first, and to complete those negotiations and get an answer back to the Council within 30 days,
- 50 so, May 1st.
- 51 Lysia Bowling, City Attorney: With regard to both the contract and the lease, there's two.
- 52 **Charlotte Farmer:** Yes, both of them in one motion, both of them, yes ma'am. Thank you.
- 53 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** And with a target of May one?
- 54 **Charlotte Farmer:** Yes. Certainly come back before then but...
- 55 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** And if there's a problem then we would know where we were anyway.
- 56 Johnny Silvas: When is our first meeting in May? What day is that, Alicia? Oh, the mayor has his little
- 57 black book.
- 58 Mayor Dwain Morrison: I can tell you.
- 59 **Johnny Silvas:** Somebody knock him upside the head.
- 60 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** May 6th.
- 61 **Johnny Silvas:** How about that, Charlotte?
- 62 **Charlotte Farmer:** That's fine, yeah, the first meeting in May.
- 63 Mayor Dwain Morrison: That will give you enough time, shouldn't it, Shane? Should?
- 64 **Shane Kelton:** We're gonna do our darndest, sir.
- 65 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Okay, motion has been made. Do I have a second on this?

- 66 **Johnny Silvas:** Second.
- 67 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Okay, I do have a motion and I do have a second. Do I have any further
- 68 Council comments on this? Anyone care to speak? Mr. Wardlaw, go ahead.
- 69 Winkie Wardlaw: Well I'd just like to compliment everybody that served on the committee, the staff
- and the three members of the City Council. In the meetings I had, it was apparent to me that everybody
- 71 had done their homework and spent many, many, many, many hours and days going through, I don't
- 72 know, Rodney, what, 4, 5, 6 hundred pages, more than you can even count, and everybody seemed to
- be able to distill that information to the relevant parts and focus in on what was best for the citizens of
- this town. Everybody seemed to go into it with an open mind and the understanding of the importance
- 75 <u>about getting this right for the citizens of the community and the staff did the same thing.</u> We got this
- thing on Friday and had to immediately drop everything we were doing and work on it for three or four
- days, including Shane and everybody. I was really impressed and appreciative of what everybody did.
- 78 You know, we all have other things to do, but this is one of the most important things that this Council
- 79 will have to decide on and I'm real comfortable with what we did. There is no doubt in my mind that the
- scoring was appropriate and I'm willing to talk to my constituents at the relevant time as to the reasons
- 81 for the decision, but I'm very comfortable that this is gonna be in the best interest of the City if Shane
- 82 can negotiate the type of contract that we believe that we can attain.
- 83 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Thank you, sir. Rodney.
- 84 Rodney Fleming: I just want to compliment Shane and his staff. They did an awesome job putting this
- 85 together. I mean, I don't know how many hours you put into this, but it was an unbelievable amount.
- 86 The packages that we got from both of the companies were outstanding. They both did a really good
- 87 job putting them together. I don't think I've read more financial statements from anybody, and just a
- 88 crazy amount of documents that we had that were involved in this and we had to read through on this.
- 89 So I feel like either company would be a good company to work with. One company just stood out a
- 90 little more than the other. If we can't get anything worked out with them, then, you know, like you said,
- 91 we'll move on to the second company. Either company will end up being a good company to work with
- 92 in the end.
- 93 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** Any further comment, Council?
- 94 **Charlotte Farmer:** I'd have to agree with my committee members. That was the first time I've had the
- 95 opportunity to work with Shane's department and all of the clerical, the order is excellent, it's
- 96 outstanding. It made it easy to read and follow and the way you conducted the meeting, or chaired, it
- 97 just made a hard task easier. Thank you.
- 98 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Let's open it up to the public. Anyone in the public care to comment on this?
- 99 Need you to tell us your name and you got three to five, sir.
- 100 Charles Young: My name is Charles Young and I don't have a vested interest one way or the other. The
- discussion that you just had I found as interesting. The last time this RFP went out, there was a request

102 for proposal, was 37 years ago. That was one of the numbers that was thrown out and discussed at the City Council meeting that was in November. You (pointing to someone on Council) weren't here. 37 103 104 years ago. 13, 541 days. 13, 541 days. It took the City 97 days to come up with the RFP and get it in the 105 hands of the businesses. The businesses had 40 days to go through this and produce their proposals. 106 They were turned in on a Friday, reviewed over many, many, many, many days as you guys said. Letters 107 picking Republic and rejecting TDS were dated and sent out on Tuesday, the following Tuesday. I don't 108 know how a committee met many, many, many, days when they received proposals on a Friday, made a 109 determination either Saturday which I'm - I don't know whether you guys worked on a Saturday - or 110 Sunday, or Monday. You didn't work on Saturday or Sunday?

- 111 Winkie Wardlaw: We didn't meet on Saturday or Sunday.
- 112 Charlotte Farmer: Worked on it...

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124125

126

127

128

129130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

Charles Young: But the letters that went out that I have seen saying that there was going to be an intent to negotiate with Republic was dated on Tuesday. So we spent 13, 541 days deciding for this to go out to an RFP, 97 days creating an RFP, 40 days getting it back, and one working day deciding which one we were going to go. The appearance of this as a fait accompli makes me nervous. I know several of the people that were on the committee that reviewed this were against the issuance of an RFP to start with. That was obvious in the meeting that was held here in November. The RFP went out – it had a grudging feel to it. Grudgingly we will look out for the best interest of San Angelo. There was a lot of discussion that went on in that meeting that, in fact, one of the comments you made, Mr. Wardlaw, was "we don't want to change horses in mid-stream." Contrary to this being not 1850, we spent a lot of time - and I say we I mean the City Council members - spent a lot of time defending Republic, talking about Republic, talking about what a great job they've done and how they're good for the City. I didn't hear a single person sitting at those seats up there discuss, or use the term "best interest of the city." Not one time. What worries me is this is happening so fast under such – it seems like it's almost "we're not even really going to discuss it, we don't want public input, we don't want anyone, any of our constituents to see what their options are." Their RFP's haven't been available to the public at large. I called and requested one. I was told it wasn't available to me. I'm not really sure why, but I was told it wasn't available to me. I thought it was a public document. I thought I would be able to have access to it. I didn't get it. My concern is that timeframe. These were turned in on a Friday, the letters were sent out on Tuesday. That doesn't give you many, many days to - what was the term you used? thoroughly vet this. When you take 1/90 of the time to review the RFP's that it took you to generate the document in the first place, it frightens me because it makes me think this is a cursory examination of this document. It's a cursory examination of the long term best interest of this town. And it totally disregards all the comment that I have seen from people in neighborhoods, at schools. I'm Treasurer at Western Little League, I'm Treasurer of a PTA at an elementary school here, I'm on the board at Glenn. I've talked to parents, I've talked to teachers, I've talked to kids. All of the concerns that they have, have been voiced to everyone and it doesn't seem like any of them have been heard. And they can't be heard in 24 hours. It is not possible for you guys to have vetted this as thoroughly as you claim to have and done it in such a short time frame. My question is who was on the committee? You weren't here for the request for the RFP (pointing to a councilmember). Who else was on the committee?

- 142 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Winkie.
- 143 Charles Young: Okay, he voted against going with the RFP, you voted to do the RFP. How often did you
- 144 guys meet?
- 145 **Rodney Fleming:** First of all, I take offense to you saying that I wouldn't do what is best for the city...
- 146 **Charles Young:** I said this gives the *appearance* of you not doing what's best for the city.
- 147 **Rodney Fleming:** I'm gonna tell you what's best for this city. I've looked through these contracts. If you
- weigh both these contracts and you get both of them, which you haven't seen but we have –
- 149 **Charles Young:** No, I would love to.
- 150 **Rodney Fleming:** You can't, you can't yet.
- 151 Charles Young: I know.
- 152 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Rodney, we're still negotiating here so if there's any questions it needs to be
- sent to Shane because this is still a negotiation that we're doing and the reason we didn't make this
- public is because this is a negotiation that we have with two entities so it is not public information.
- 155 **Charles Young:** And my concern is not with the negotiation of the contract. I'm assuming that hasn't
- 156 started yet -
- 157 Mayor Dwain Morrison: It doesn't until we vote.
- 158 Charles Young: Right. So my concern is not the negotiation of the contract. My concern is the vetting,
- which you talked about.
- 160 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** And I appreciate your comments, sir.
- 161 Charles Young: And that was the question I had that I haven't gotten answered is how often did you
- 162 guys meet over these many, many, many days?
- 163 Charlotte Farmer: Sir, do you not believe or feel that three people can discuss and go over the package
- via the phone or, you know, not being in the same room, but they are looking at the material and
- studying it and talking to one another?
- 166 Charles Young: Well, Mr. Wardlaw, who is on the committee, said y'all didn't meet over the weekend.
- 167 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** You got one minute. You got one minute.
- 168 Charles Young: I appreciate that. Didn't work over the weekend so that leaves you these were turned
- in Friday afternoon so maybe you worked on them on Friday afternoon, maybe you worked on them on
- 170 Monday, maybe you worked on them Tuesday morning before the letter went out. It seems like that is
- a tragically short timeframe for something as important as this, as expensive as this and something that
- has taken 37 years to get to this point. For you guys to take one day to do that, it worries me.

- 173 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** We appreciate your comments.
- 174 Rodney Fleming: It wasn't one day, though. That's wrong.
- 175 Charles Young: And I ask the question -
- 176 Rodney Fleming: I got it on Friday. I started reading within – as soon as I pulled it out of the box, I sat
- 177 down and I started reading.
- 178 Charles Young: So you had Saturday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday -
- 179 **Charles Young:** Letters went out Tuesday.
- 180 Rodney Fleming: I can tell you. I mean, when you look at contracts, if you've ever looked at contracts
- 181 and when somebody's negotiating -
- 182 Charles Young: And you talk about hundreds of pages -
- 183 **Rodney Fleming:** No, thousands, thousands of pages.
- 184 **Charles Young:** That's a lot to go through in four days
- 185 Rodney Fleming: Yes, it is. But when you look at the main points –
- 186 **Charles Young:** It doesn't seem like you put in the right amount of time to me.
- 187 Rodney Fleming: Right -
- 188 Charles Young: It's the appearance –
- 189 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Let's kill this right now. Thank you for your comments, sir.
- 190 **Charles Young:** Thank you for your direct answers.
- 191 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** Do we have any further comment? Come ahead.
- 192 **Mayor Dwain Morrison:** State your name and you've got 3 to 5, sir.

193 194

195

196

197

- Stanley Mayfield: Thank you very much. I'm Stanley Mayfield, I own a business here in San Angelo, and of course a resident of San Angelo for a number of years. I saw the article in the paper and was very confused by it because it seemed to run through this entire process very quickly, and I was puzzled by the fact that there would be negotiations after a vendor was chosen, which to me, is pretty tough to do. How do you negotiate after your competitors, the competition issue, is no longer in the discussion? If I read right this morning on one of the newscasts, this is a \$450 million dollar a year contract? And what is
- 198 199
- 200 the term of the contract?

201

202 Mayor Dwain Morrison: Shane?

Shane Kelton: There is no dollar figure or financial impact at this point to this contract. It has not been negotiated to determine what the financial impact of this contract would be if we are successful in the negotiation with the first company.

Stanley Mayfield: So, historically, what is the value of this contract? Last ten years?

Shane Kelton: We would have to get back to you. I can't specifically give you a direct answer as to the value of a particular contract because this was over both collections and landfill management at the same time so, we know what annual revenues are, and what annual expenditures have been, but we would be able to provide that in a financial statement if you'd like to see it.

Stanley Mayfield: Somebody give us a ball park number. What kind of money are we talking about in this contract? Anyone? No one has an idea?

Unidentified voice: No one knows.

Charles Young: The committee members thoroughly vetted it. Surely they know.

Stanley Mayfield: Historically, we don't know how much? No one has an idea, a ball park figure?

Shane Kelton: Yes, we do, and we can't divulge that information.

A Council Member: Because we're actually still in negotiations with two companies. We're gonna start with one and if we don't get it worked out with the one then we go to the second.

Stanley Mayfield: Okay, San Angelo Live published this morning this is a \$450 million a year operation. Is that correct?

Shane Kelton: That's wrong.

Stanley Mayfield: Okay, if you know that's not correct, then what is correct? A ball park? You don't have to divulge anyone's bid.

Michael Dane, Asst. City Manager/CFO: Mr. Mayfield, the impact to the company has – there are two impacts. One has to do with the operation of the landfill; one has to do with operations of collections. There is a piece of that revenue that comes to the city, historically. And there is a piece of that revenue that the company keeps. Those amounts are yet to be negotiated looking forward.

Stanley Mayfield: Historically, can anyone give me a ball park figure of the value of the contracts that you're negotiating and you will sign.

Charlotte Farmer: In my opinion, Mr. Mayfield, if I may, the term, whether it's a 10, 20, or 30 year contract, I would have to agree with you, that what I viewed, that it is a multi-million dollar contract.

Stanley Mayfield: Well, San Angelo Live, you tell me where they came up with \$450 million.

Charlotte Farmer: I have no idea.

Stanley Mayfield: Maybe someone is here from that organization who can tell us where they arrived at the number, but...

Michael Dane: Mr. Mayfield, the City's budget, in the landfill enterprise fund, for this year is approximately \$2.1 million, and expenditures are a similar amount, slightly less than that. That is not a full representation of all the revenues and expenditures involved in these contracts; that is just the City piece. So, \$2 million, \$2.1 to \$2.2 million per year has historically been the City piece of that activity.

Stanley Mayfield: Okay, I'm not even sure what that really represents. What kind of income, total gross income, dollars, would this contract be valued at for the vendor, then? Both collections from commercial, other...

Johnny Silvas: It depends the negotiation process that we're going to start... we're about to, if the vote goes through, give him (pointing to Shane Kelton) the authority to negotiate that and then it will be brought to us. So this isn't written in stone yet, they just want to go and try to hash out.

Paul Alexander (joining Mr. Mayfield at the podium): He just wants a ball park. It's about 4 million a year for pick up: 33 thousand residents, ten dollars a resident, four million a year. Just a ball park is what he wants. That's just on pickup. So the landfill is much more complicated. So ten years, \$40 million, just for picking up. The landfill is still out of it. So we're getting closer. It's not \$400 million, see what I'm saying? We're getting closer. It's a big contract.

Stanley Mayfield: It's a big number.

Michael Dane: Mr. Mayfield we'd be glad to sit down with you for the financial prospectus.

Stanley Mayfield: Well, all of us in business today are looking at...

Michael Dane: How much time does he have?

Stanley Mayfield: ... at our budgets...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: He's pretty close.

Stanley Mayfield: We're looking at payroll costs that have increased beyond anything we've ever experienced, taxes are going up, and I find it really interesting that we have a public bid that is not very transparent, and I was shocked to find out we're going to select a vendor and then negotiate a price. Is that not backwards?

Lysia Bowling, City Attorney: This is permissible under the law relating to proposals, and it's a process that, and this has been published in the bid packet, the process is over a series of negotiations and it's been expressed correctly by the department. So we are also, as council has said numerous times, entitled by law, to protect the information, and not disclose information relating to our negotiations, until after the contract is awarded.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: We do have prices, Mr. Mayfield. We do know what one's going to charge us, and what they're going to do; what they have proposed. We know, on the other hand, what the other has proposed, what they're going to charge and what they expect. We know all these things. It is

part of the package through RFP that they provided this information but until we are able to negotiate and come to a final decision we are not going to show our cards. And all we are going today is just authorizing what the committee has chosen as the one that is first, out of the two, the one that is first, we are allowing our city management to negotiate with them to come up with a negotiated contract. If we cannot negotiate what we want with them then we will go to the one that was rated second. And we're gonna negotiate with them. We know what they want. We know what they want for collection. We know what they want for the landfill. We know all of these things, but it's not to be made public until we have come to a conclusion. And you're asking for us to give all of this information to the public and we haven't even negotiated it yet. We just simply vetted to get the one that we feel is best. And until we negotiate, we're not going to give you those figures.

Johnny Silvas: Let me add that TDS doesn't know what Republic submitted and Republic doesn't know what TDS submitted.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: It is still two separate entities. We are just asking the council to give permission to go ahead and negotiate this thing out based on the decision and on the information that we have from the two RFP's, one of them is better than the other. So we want to negotiate with the better one.

Stanley Mayfield: Well I can understand that.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: When you're making a business deal you don't tell everybody exactly what you're buying and what you're selling to give your competitors the chance. It has to be a secret negotiation until something is made. At that time we can make everything public. But until we negotiate we've got to do this the best way.

Stanley Mayfield: Well I guess I'm fairly limited on my experience in public bids. When we, in our company bid on municipality bids and state bids throughout all of west Texas, we do submit bids and people select based on...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: But the people that you submit the bid to does not make your bid public to the other people that are making bids because then they can change their bid. It has to be, a bid has to be secret, and it has to be kept secure until the decision is made, and that's what we've got. We've got two bids, but now we have to figure out which is the best, and that is done through negotiations.

Stanley Mayfield: Well I was puzzled somewhat by the procedure, and when you were evaluating the financial impact of the proposal, (reading) "that the financial impact will be established through the negotiation process" but that's after you have eliminated...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: We have not eliminated. We are taking the one that was first and we're going to negotiate with them. If we cannot come to a negotiation, then we'll go to the one that was chosen second and we will negotiate. And you've got, I've already given you about seven minutes.

Stanley Mayfield: Okay, let me ask just a couple quick questions. How often is this put out for bid? This is a sizable contract.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: This is the first time it's been done in probably 40 years.

Charles Young: 37, according to...

Stanley Mayfield: 37 years. That's remarkable.

Rodney Fleming: That's a good thing, though, Mr. Mayfield, that we are doing this and there was competition. There were seven companies that came to the initial meeting for the RFP. So seven companies came in to look at it. I think there were 3 or 4 that were kind of serious about it and they kind of moved forward a little bit, and then two rose to the top and finished it out. For them to finish it out they put in thousands and thousands of dollars. If you could see the packages, which I think all these packages will be public after we've made our decision. You'll see...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: You've got less than a minute, sir. You've already had about 8 minutes.

Stanley Mayfield: I appreciate your indulgence. I have a...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Your time's up, sir. Your time is up.

Stanley Mayfield: ... a dumpster outside of the city limits and I did use an alternate source to Republic and I got a 20% larger dumper for 39% less money. That did spark my interest.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: I'm sorry, your time's up. Thank you, Mr. Mayfield. Any other public comment on this?

Paul Alexander: I have to ask a question before I get started. I didn't hear about recycling. Are we going after recycling?

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: It's part of negotiations, Paul. We had a proposal from TDS on recycling and picking up. We had a contract, or a price, from Republic on recycling and picking up. We have not negotiated this; we have not got figures yet. We simply have got the two proposals, we have picked the best proposal to start with, and after we negotiate, if this is not acceptable, then we'll go to the second one and negotiate with them.

Johnny Silvas: And if we don't like the second one...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Then we'll go out again. But we are still negotiating

Rodney Fleming: The RFP asked for multiple choices. And there were multiple choices by both companies; they pretty much submitted the same thing.

Paul Alexander: I suppose I've been doing this, for me I've been doing this since late 2010, and I've been asking, and asking the public about recycling, and still they overwhelmingly want recycling. They'll even eliminate a pick up a week. Keep that in mind. I think it would be a travesty, I think they would revolt if you didn't have recycling. So I think you've gotta do it. All right, now, here's, I'm a bit surprised, and I'm just taking a little bit different angle, because I've worked this and I know it intimately, except for the last ten months, but when did TDS ever say "no" to anything? Texas Disposal has never said "no" to anything. And this is something I've recognized about the company. They're very bright people. I asked, "Can we have green trash cans?", and they said "Sure". You know green looks like a bush when it's stacked against the house. Blue is advertising what? So I asked about this with Republic and they

are hesitant to give us green trash cans. It's a difficult thing for them. I never got that from Texas Disposal Systems. So they're very easy to work with. We talked about seasonal pick-ups, large item pickups, and I never got a "no" from them, I always got a "yes", and I'm just wondering what happened, and I can't know that answer; but it makes me curious. And I remember when I came in to the city council in 2010, Harold Dominguez was counting the days that contract ran out, so I contacted Harold Dominguez last night and said, "Has anybody talked to you?" "No." What was it that he was counting the days for? Why did he not appreciate what was going on with Republic at that time, four years ago? And then I see Texas Disposal Systems having to defend themselves by bringing those subjects up to you guys, why are they having to defend themselves in bringing that subject up? It's because we've all forgotten it apparently; and I'm not sure that's a good idea. It just appears that way; and I can only read the information I have; and it makes me wonder. And I can't get into that, and I know you guys can't get into it with me, right here. I also thought that both entities would get to stand up here and present to the entire council a summary, at least, to where you guys would all have a fair shot at hearing what they had to say. And I think that was skipped over. If I'm correct, I'm not sure; I thought they were going to be given the opportunity. Now, let me tell you about what I've learned. Today is trash pick-up day. If it's a windy day, and I stick my trash can out in the morning, the lid can pop up and trash goes out. And this is a windy time of season. Why would I do that, because I don't know what time Republic is coming by. And I've mentioned over and over and over, you've got to be predictable. They come at 8:00 and I don't have my trash can out. So I put my trash can out at 8:00 and they don't come until 4:00, and on a windy day, that's when the trash goes everywhere. I asked that question with Texas Disposal Systems and they say, "Hey, you know what, we have a GPS system in our trucks. We know where our truck is, they're going to hit the same spot within 20 minutes, every single time and we're watching that route to see if we can make it more efficient." Well why? Because they save money, they're predictable. You see where I'm going with this? Saving money saves us money. If someone doesn't pick up the trash can at Texas Disposal Systems, and you call and say my trash can wasn't picked up, in twenty minutes they're going to send you a picture of the car that was parked in front of your trash can that prevented them from picking it up.

424

Winkie Wardlaw: Mr. Mayor, I'm calling the time limit.

425 426

427

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

Paul Alexander: Was it really five minutes?

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: He's got one minute left.

428 429 430

431

432

433

434

435

436

Paul Alexander: I know how much time I have. All right, so, this is a really amazing company. It is a really amazing company. And I'm going to mention this last fact. They are as local as we're gonna get. These are two San Angelo boys that made this company. And when they come to San Angelo and work here, they're going to work with the rest of west Texas creating a network of recycling infrastructure that will come through San Angelo to go to Austin. When they go to buy parts, they can buy from San Angelo vendors. I don't think that Republic can do that. You gotta ask that question. They have national buying systems. They can't buy from our local people. This is pretty much a local company. And I can only say I'm surprised, because I cannot get into negotiations, I realize that.

437 438

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Thank you, Paul. Any other comments?

439 440 441

442

443

Tony Villarreal: Good morning Mayor and Council. My name is Tony Villarreal. I come as a private citizen and also have served professionally as a public servant, as a mayor in a previous city and also as a commissioner in a different county as well. And wanted to, first of all, commend you guys for putting this up for an RFP. I think that's wonderful. It was long overdue. And I think the process, this process, and the committee has come up with a recommendation, and I agree with that recommendation from my experience as a customer, as a citizen, and also as a public servant, serving in these different capacities, Republic has been outstanding. They adjust; what I appreciate most about them is they adjust to the situations at hand and they're always conscious of the customer, and not the City, but the citizens of the cities that they deal with. We had just quick response every time that we've dealt with any situation they were always there to assist us in making sure that the citizens were completely satisfied. And the customer friendly service that they give is outstanding. Their professionalism. And another thing that I also appreciate about them is they involve themselves in the community. You see them all over the place. Serving in different Boards and citizen and civic groups; they're always there to give a helping hand. I appreciate your time and hope that you consider Republic, to continue working with Republic.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Anyone else got a comment?

Jim Turner: Yes, my name is Jim Turner I have been a long time blogger and observer of negotiations, contracting and so on in City and other government entities around. One of the things I think it would be great if the City did disclose was the checklist that they use to decide what is important in this contract, and what are the points you evaluated it on, and that needs to be presented to the public so they know that we emphasize "this"; because it's just not a dollar amount figure that needs to go into a contract like this. There are a number of different factors and each one of those needs to be weighted. The impact is not just economic, it's social, cultural, recycling is going to be an impact. All of those factors needs to be evaluated and how they were evaluated, what weight they were given, can be given to the public without disclosing any secrets of the negotiation. These are the factors that we are looking at, this is the people that scored the best on these factors and I have seen it in a number of negotiations where at the end of the day before they get into the actual figures this is how much better we think they did on this than the other guys; without disclosing what they did to make themselves better. That checklist, those factors that you looked at beyond just a numerical monetary bottom line, need to be made public...

Johnny Silvas: Standard Times made them public

Jim Turner: ...as part of this decision.

Johnny Silvas: The different factors, Standard Times made them public, I mean it was there.

 Jim Turner: It needs to be part of this meeting; it needs to be part of the presentation here because right now not everybody reads a Standard Times paper for a number of reasons; and whether the paper had it... the paper is not the city government in the City of San Angelo. City of San Angelo needs to do that as part of this deliberation. Thank you.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Shane?

Shane Kelton: I don't have the actual weights that were given on the criteria right now, I can get those and we can give them out but the rating criteria were for both sides of the waste collection and for Landfill lease operations: Financial impact of the proposal, Operational experience of the respondents, Financial qualifications and stability of the respondents, Adherence to the specifications as outlined in

the RFP, and any additional beneficial criteria that the respondents gave in their proposal, and those were the criteria that the committee used and weighted against and I don't actually have those weights with me right now but we can get those pretty quick.

Daniel Valenzuela: Shane, you mentioned those while you did your presentation a while ago as well, correct? I do want to comment here. I do want to point out that on the committee it wasn't just the city councilmembers we did have the staff on the committee as well. I was on the committee; Michael Dane was, and of course, Shane and Patrick were also involved; and our legal was also involved. We understand as far as getting the information on a Friday and having a meeting on Tuesday I can tell you at this point that there is a lot of information that all of us went through. Council as well as the staff; this is something that is a really big contract I know that Paul mentioned a while ago, did anybody talk with our previous city manager. I can tell you right now why he was concerned: it was the portion that involved the landfill. We feel that was addressed in the RFP process and I think that if anything what we got back we feel pretty good moving forward after we properly vetted it, we did spend a lot of time a lot of hours on this staff as well as City Council. So I never want the community to think that we took this lightly; we didn't. This was a process again that, I know that poor, I mean when we look at Patrick and Shane the number of hours they spend on it as well, we came into the meeting I can look around with everybody involved in that meeting, there was a lot of highlights on the information a lot of questions a lot of notes on the sides that we discussed as well. So again, I know that we don't want to make it seem like we are trying to be secretive as far as what we are doing. We want the best for our community, we want to benefit our community and we are being very cautious at this point moving forward, making sure that we negotiate a deal that is absolutely best for the community. And that's all we're looking for at this point.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Anybody else got a comment on this? Come ahead, Mr. Gregory. Give it to her, please. State your name and you have 3 to 5.

Bobby Gregory: I'm Bobby Gregory, President and Principal owner of Texas Disposal Systems. My brother, Jimmy, the other owner, is here today. Thank you very much for the opportunity to talk to you today. And thank you all for putting this out for RFP. Last Friday when we received the letter, it said "The selection committee has completed its review of the RFP and will request authorization to enter into negotiations with Republic Services" at today's meeting. The letter that was attached said, the RE: on it said "RFP Selection Notification." It was our fear then, our belief then, that a selection had been made by the committee. It appears that is the case at least for the first place, and that we would be shut out otherwise. This left me wondering whether the three TDS proposals for landfill services, and the seven TDS proposals for solid waste and recyclables collection had been reviewed or would have an opportunity to come before you again. Also, by the wording of today's agenda it leaves the question as to whether the work of the selection committee is complete, or whether it continues on since the city staff is the one being directed to be doing the negotiating.

The move to negotiate without public consideration of TDS proposal raises significant concerns. First, it ignores the fact that Republic already has the environmental responsibility and liability related to the operation of the city landfill for more than 37 years; and from being the primary hauler of waste into the landfill from the city and from the numerous communities in the San Angelo area. Secondly, it fails to publicly answer questions TDS has asked about in its RFP response about fees that Republic has been collecting from commercial customers for years; apparently without authorization. Any overcharges to commercial accounts within the city above what is allowed the exclusive operator by the city ordinance, among other things, should be documented and considered within the final evaluation of the contractor

selection. This can only be done if the city staff is able to discuss these issues with TDS representatives in contract negotiations; and that the staff understands the issues and how they affect the more than 2,000 captive commercial and roll off accounts which Republic bills directly. Based on information made public in the RFP process, in combination with a review of commercial rates approved by city ordinance, as well as actual copies of invoices from commercial customers located inside the city, which illustrate billing for services substantially higher than approved by city ordinance, we have reason to believe that Republic's invoice charges to commercial customers have been greater than that approved by ordinance by an amount in excess of \$1 million per year. It is our understanding through a review of past invoices that this process of charging additional fuel fees and environmental fees greater than what we believe is allowed by city ordinance has gone on for many years. TDS has specifically asked staff for ordinances supporting these fees but has not yet received the information. Our review of all past rate ordinances revisions that we could find shows that there is no such authorization for the significant amount added to fees that Republic is now billing San Angelo commercial accounts.

Some of the council members who were not placed on the committee stated at the time that they were fine with that because they would get to hear all of the presentations. It appears to me by today's action, if you approve it, you will cut off an opportunity to have a presentation by TDS because TDS will not be in the hunt. Why should the city council give Republic representatives the impression that the selection that the selection of the city's contractor under the RFP process has been completed, and that TDS will not even be interviewed or allowed to make a presentation to city council? We can only assume Republic has offered...

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Mr. Gregory, one minute.

Bobby Gregory: TDS requests that the city council not approve Agenda Item 18 and thereby not direct city staff to negotiate exclusively with Republic. Instead, TDS requests that city council and staff post a separate agenda item for city council consideration at its next meeting to direct city staff to negotiate contracts with both Republic and TDS for both landfill and collection services, and to allow both respondents to make presentations for their proposal to council prior to moving forward with any contract negotiations. This would allow the city to fulfill the intent of the RFP and enable the city council to have the choice between two contractors when considering your final decision. Thank you very much.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Thank you, Mr. Gregory.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Anyone else got a comment? Come ahead, sir. State your name, you've got 3 to 5, buddy.

Marty Behrens: Marty Behrens with Cisco Equipment here in San Angelo. I'm also on the board of directors of the San Angelo Blues Society, and I'm here to speak on behalf of Republic Services in regards to their community support and involvement. Every year we do a blues festival that's free to the public which this year is on May 10th, everybody's invited, and Republic Services has always been a strong supporter of this, and we generate scholarships out of this, and that's a big part of why they're involved. I see their involvement in lots of other activities, and charitable events around town. They not only involve themselves with our festival financially and in kind support, but also they have people show up and hand out water and set up a tent there. I know this is generally all about the Benjamin's here on this RFP, however in regards to some other items outside of that I sure support Republic Services.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Thank you Marty. Who's next? Anything further you need to add, Shane? Anything the council needs to add? Any other further comments? Charlotte will be right back. She's taking a little break.

Winkie Wardlaw: I might just make a comment or two while we're killing time. I think it's a little unfortunate the way it worked out that we got so compressed with time. I would have liked more time too and I always liked more transparency. It's just the way the municipal bidding process is it does not allow us to have the transparency that we would have liked. And we're running up against the expiration of the other contract and I wish that we could have had more time. I wish that I hadn't of didn't have to dedicate 4 days in a row and pull off my own individual businesses, and spent all the time going through all these documents carefully, but that's the way it worked out, and I don't think it's anybody's fault, and it just slipped up on us time wise. I guess we can blame Shane a little bit because his RFP was so comprehensive and so voluminous and so slanted, or directed toward the protection of the citizens of this town and making sure that everything was put on the table, that it took a good while to get this RFP to come out. And I wish it had come out a couple months earlier, but sometimes we don't have the luxury of having the amount of time that we want. But here again I'm real confident this is going to come out the best for the city however it turns out. And, you know, we're unpaid volunteers up here taking our time, and we took the cards that were dealt us and we reacted and did the best we know how and I think some of us have experience in financial matters, legal matters, and as the City Manager said, we looked at all this stuff real carefully and discussed it in detail, and there was no cursory examination of this whatsoever.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Thank you, sir. Got a little more time. All right there's another public comment.

Del Velasquez: Hello my name is Del Velasquez I'm the executive director for Downtown San Angelo. And I believe in previous council meetings I've also spoken about the philanthropic work that Republic Services has provided Downtown San Angelo and relationship to a major project that we undertook. I hate reiterating this point, but the fact was that the largest number of in kind contribution for helping us with the revitalization efforts that we're undertaking with the Roosevelt Hotel was Republic Services. So I just leave that in your hands, I do know they've been a real good partner for our community in relationship to what that does, and I also brought up, and I don't think legally you can do this, but I will make this point, I know coming from a corporate background, I know that when we look at suppliers and vendors that we were looking at, we did look at the component of what does this company do in the community that we serve? So I just wanted to throw that out as well. If you have any questions I'd be more than happy to answer.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Thank you, Del.

Del Velasquez: Thank you.

Charlotte Farmer: Mr. Mayor, I apologize, for having to leave quickly, having trouble breathing here. But I did hear every word because it's piped all the way through, so I didn't miss anything.

Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Okay, motion has been... reiterate the motion.

633 Republic Waste Services of Texas, Limited within the designated time, presenting final draft contracts to 634 city council by May 6, and as presented was made by council member Farmer." 635 636 Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Seconded by Fleming. 637 638 Winkie Wardlaw: Didn't we say both the landfill and waste collection? 639 640 Mayor Dwayne Morrison: Both aspects. All right, we've had council comment, we've had public 641 comment, and if there's any other comment from the public, now's the time, we're about to vote on 642 this. All in favor say Aye. Any opposed, nay. Drop a 6-0 on it.

632

Lysia Bowling, City Attorney: I have, "Motion to authorize staff to negotiate contract and lease with